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**ASSIGNMENT TASK**

**COMPULSORY PURCHASE & COMPENSATION**

Explain what is meant by the ‘*no scheme world’* in the assessment of compulsory purchase compensation. In the light of recent case law, to what extent do you consider that it is a settled concept?

You should make reference to case law as appropriate and you should include references to literature and statute.
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